
REQUEST FOR TENDER RFT #B25-03

Washroom Upgrades
(Fenelon Twp PS, Bobcaygeon PS, IE Weldon SS, Lady Mackenzie PS)

TLDSB ADDENDUM NO. 4

The following additional instructions and amendments shall apply and govern the contract accordingly:

.ADD:

See attached Architect Addendum #4-Q & A:

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 4

1.0 INTENT

- 1.1 This Addendum is issued prior to receipt of Bid to provide clarifications and revisions to the Drawings and Specifications. The following additional instructions shall apply to and govern the Bid Documents.
- 1.2 Indicate receipt of this Addendum on the Tender Form.
- 1.3 This Addendum consists of **3 pages** plus the listed attachments.
- 1.4 Attachments:
 - 1.4.1 **None.**

2.0 INTRODUCTORY INFORMATION

- 2.1 The following are questions received from bidders and are taken directly from Bids and Tenders. Corresponding responses are provided in Section 3.0 QUESTIONS below.

3.0 QUESTIONS

- 3.1 **QUESTION:** *"At IE Weldon, will the new door frames fit in the existing openings? We see no changes to the lintel, and would assume no changes to the opening size."*
RESPONSE: The existing structural opening is to remain and the new door and frame are to fit within the existing opening. Door sizes have been provided for tendering purposes in the door schedule and as stated in the Door Schedule - Notes are to be confirmed on site and prior to shop drawing submission by the Contractor.
- 3.2 **QUESTION:** *"At IE Weldon, can the new ceramic floor tiles be placed directly over the existing terrazzo flooring, with minor removals to accommodate floor drains and toilet flanges?"*
RESPONSE: PT-3 tile finish is to be deleted. Refer to addendum #8 for existing terrazzo repair and refinish.
- 3.3 **QUESTION:** *"At Bobcaygeon Office 104, Plan 1/A900 shows RF-1 and Room finish schedule on same page notes Porcelain Tile PT-2. Please confirm which should be installed."*
RESPONSE: Office 104 flooring to be RF-1. Refer to Addendum #9 for clarification.

- 3.4 QUESTION:** *“At Bobcaygeon dwg A010, would it make sense to remove all of the ceiling, to remove all of the Asbestos containing drywall joint compound? The area noted to be removed will not be enough to accommodate the relocated sprinkler head to the location shown in the Mechanical drawings.”*
- RESPONSE:** Bidders are to assume that the entire gypsum board ceiling will be removed by the Owner’s abatement forces as it is an ACM. Refer to Addendum #9 for replacement ceiling scope.
- 3.5 QUESTION:** *“At Fenelon, will the new door frame D122 fit in the existing openings? We see no changes to that lintel, and would assume no changes to the opening size.”*
- RESPONSE:** The existing structural opening is to remain and the new door and frame are to fit within the existing opening. Door sizes have been provided for tendering purposes in the door schedule and as stated in the Door Schedule - Notes are to be confirmed on site and prior to shop drawing submission by the winning proponent.
- 3.6 QUESTION:** *“Will the General Contractor be carrying the costs for Abatement? OR will (SCDSB) TLDSB be completing the abatement?”*
- RESPONSE:** Abatement will be performed by TLDSB forces. Refer to CCDC2 GC 9.2 TOXIC AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES and related TLDSB Supplementary Conditions provided with the bid documents for further clarity.
- 3.7 QUESTION:** *“Would ASI and Hadrian be considered suitable alternatives for washroom partitions?”*
- RESPONSE:** Not at this time.
- 3.8 QUESTION:** *“Will the TLDSB be doing any required asbestos abatement or expect the proponent to do it?”*
- RESPONSE:** Abatement will be performed by TLDSB forces. Refer to CCDC2 GC 9.2 TOXIC AND HAZARDOUS SUBSTANCES and related TLDSB Supplementary Conditions provided with the bid documents for further clarity.

3.9 QUESTION: *“Who is the fire alarm provider in all the schools? I would need to get pricing from them for verification.”*

RESPONSE: Dyson Alarm Tech Systems Ltd.

3.10 QUESTION: *“Scranton has advised: Integral hinges n/a with NFPA 286. Can you please advise if this is being addressed for clarity within an Addendum.”*

RESPONSE: Refer to the specification – Helix hinges are already specified and Scranton’s “Class B” product (not NFPA 286) is specified provided it has been tested and conforms to CAN/ULC S102 and CAN/ULC S102.2.

Refer also to Addendum #5 for clarifications to Specification Section 10 21 13.19 PLASTIC TOILET COMPARTMENTS. Testing documentation will be required at time of submittals.

3.11 QUESTION: *“For NFPA 286- their pricing is listing Continuous St/St Helix, not Integral hinges as listed. Is NFPA 286 a requirement for all schools, are any exempt?”*

RESPONSE: Refer to the specification – Scranton’s “Class B” product (not NFPA 286) is specified provided it has been tested and conforms to CAN/ULC S102 and CAN/ULC S102.2.

Refer also to Addendum #5 for clarifications to Specification Section 10 21 13.19 PLASTIC TOILET COMPARTMENTS. Testing documentation will be required at time of submittals.

3.12 QUESTION: *“Request Frost Products be considered as alternative to Bobrick.”*

RESPONSE: Frost Products is an acceptable alternate to Bobrick.

END OF ADDENDUM #4